

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/781,077	Applicant(s) FABIAN ET AL.	
	Examiner Robert P. Swiatek	Art Unit 3643	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowed

 (1) Robert P. Swiatek.

(3) _____.

 (2) Mr. Barry L. Kelmacher.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 July 2007
Time: =

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
Possible 35 USC 103(a)
Claims discussed:

3, 4, 21, 23-29, 32

Prior art documents discussed:
Kay (US 4,687,691), Cundiff (US 6,156,146), Watkins et al. (US 2005/0095380 A1)
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicants' representative authorized changes to claims 3, 21, 29 to recite the honeycomb cells as being filled with fiberglass; approval was given to cancel claims 4, 23-28, and 32. See examiner's amendment.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)